

## Minutes of Assembly Meeting held on 17<sup>th</sup> November 2021 – via VC

Present:	Claire Anderson (CA) - Chair, Martin Astbury (MA), Sibby Buckle (SB), Andrew Carruthers (AC), Kathleen Cowle (CW),
	Thorrun Govind (TG), Mike Hannay (MH), Alisdair Jones (AJ), John Marriott (JM), Tase Oputu (TO), Lynne Smith (LS),
	Cheryl Way (CW), Andre Yeung (AY)
In attendance:	Paul Bennett (PB), Karen Baxter (KB), Gail Fleming (GF), Rick Russell (RR), Robbie Turner (RT), Vicky Taylor (VT), Ravi Sharma (RS), Elen Jones (EJ), Clare Morrison (CM), Alison Douglas (AD)
Observers:	19 Members registered to observe the meeting
Apologies:	Ruth Mitchel (RM), Mike Hannay (MH)

Item	Paper	Notes and actions	Action by
Item 01 Welcome & Apologies		CA welcomed all to the meeting. Apologies were received from Ruth Mitchell. Noted that Mike Hannay would not be joining the meeting until noon.	
Item 02 Code of Conduct & Remit of Assembly	21/11/ASB/02	The Code of Conduct and remit of Assembly were noted.	
Item 03 Declarations of Interest	21/11/ASB/03	Declarations from all members were noted.	

Item 04	21/11/ASB/04	TO noted that the reference to BPA under Item 12 R-12 should refer to UKBPA.	
Minutes of last meeting		With this amendment the minutes of the meetings held on 13 <sup>th</sup> & 14 <sup>th</sup> July were agreed as a true and accurate record.	
Item 05 Matters Arising	21/11/ASB/05	a) Panel of Fellows & Membership Committee The new composition of the Panel of Fellows and Membership Committee, and the new Chair of the Panel of Fellows, were noted.	
		TO asked if ethnicity information on the members of both bodies could be circulated to Assembly members for information. RT explained that this was still in the process of being collected but, once available, he will ensure the information is available to Assembly.	
		ACTION - RT	RT
		<b>b)</b> Fellowship Nominations TO asked if her suggestion to re-consider the need to keep nominations to Fellowship confidential, thereby making it much harder to request ethnicity information on the applicant, had been re-considered by the Panel. RT replied that it hadn't yet been but that the Panel had only recently been re-constituted and he will therefore ensure the item is taken to a future meeting for consideration.	
		ACTION - RT	RT
Item 06 2022 Elections	21/11/ASB/06	a) Proposals for 2022 Elections RT introduced a paper containing a number of proposals for amendments to the National Pharmacy Board elections process for 2022, and explained these had been developed as part of the routine annual review of the elections.	
		Noted that SB, AY and KC were conflicted out of any vote on this item as they would be due for re-election in 2022, however they would be permitted to participate in the debate.	
		<ul> <li>i) Removal of Paper Ballot</li> <li>Members considered a number of options around removing the need to print and issue a full paper ballot.</li> </ul>	
		AJ asked if the Society held data on whether any members might have a disability that would mean they would be unable to vote on-line. RT confirmed that at present this information wasn't available but explained that Mi-Voice, the external Scrutineers, were able to offer a dedicated telephone support line for any individuals who might be unable to vote on-line and had a number of alternative ways to help them be	

Members tended to agree that the entire election period did feel very lengthy but questioned whether it might be better to look at reducing the time between candidates being announced and campaigning starting, and then again between campaigning starting and voting opening. One option might therefore be to state the date that nominations close and then state a date when campaigning would be permitted from.	
RT informed members that feedback from a small number of both members and candidates was that the overall timeframe for the elections was excessive and if it was possible to reduce the voting period this might be beneficial. He noted that at times a certain level of toxic discourse can arise around the elections, particularly on social media, which can be quite uncomfortable to be part of and/or observe. TO however highlighted that the elections also brought an element of positive engagement and publicity for the Society.	
ACTION – RS/EJ/CM <b>ii) Reduce the voting period</b> The proposal to potentially reduce the voting period from the current 15 days was considered and members noted the daily voter breakdown details provided in the paper.	RS/EJ/CM
SB noted that the number of nominators currently required for candidates varied between the three Boards and questioned whether this disparity was necessary or desirable. AD noted that the number of nominators required was in the gift of each Board to decide. Boards will therefore be asked to consider this in time for any proposed amendment to be brought to Assembly ahead of the 2023 election.	
TG noted the environmental impact of continuing to issue ballot papers, when a very small percent were ever returned. Members then approved the move to paperless ballots.	
enfranchise or de-value any demographic of the membership who were eligible to vote. AD confirmed that any member requesting a paper ballot would continue to be sent a single A4 paper voting instruction which would contain details of the election, where to access the candidate information, how to vote and would also include both an email and a phone number for the Mi-Voice support team.	
able to register their votes. He stressed that the team would in no way want to unintentionally dis-	

MA asked that at least two weekends be included in any voting period. He suggested it might be worth	
considering external assistance to help with the proofing of ballot information. RT noted that this work was currently undertaken by senior staff within the team, as any errors in candidate information would have a significant impact on the entire election process. AD agreed, and noted that Mi-Voice do currently undertake aspects of this work but would also consider whether additional resources might be beneficial.	
AJ observed that more votes were cast in the final four days of the voting period that the rest of the period overall. He agreed including two weekends in the voting period was sensible but that this would mean voting could only be reduced by about four days overall and questioned if such a change would really make any difference but may unintentionally reduce overall engagement with the voting process.	
Members then agreed to reduce the voting period down to as short as practical, whilst still including two weekends. AD will incorporate these instructions into the 2022 elections timeline in the most practical way.	AD
ACTION AD	/ 10
<ul> <li>iii) Reduce candidate information</li> <li>AD confirmed the CV word template would be incorporated into the main online nomination form in future and would not need to be completed separately.</li> </ul>	
TG felt that candidates should have to undertake some level of work in putting their nomination together as to be elected to the Board was a prestigious thing. She therefore felt all the current information should continue to be requested. If candidates were not prepared to provide any of the information, it would be for the voters to consider and take into account when they were casting their votes.	
AC felt it should be as simple as possible to stand for election and so suggested none of the additional information/statements etc be deemed to be mandatory. KC similarly felt the role of the Society should be to facilitate the ability for candidates to provide information if they wished to rather than to mandate it.	
PB felt it was important Assembly considered how attractive it currently is for members to participate in the elections, and noted that the Society was encouraging more people to interact with it, including standing for election and therefore the simpler the process was the better, whilst still mindful that it was an honour to be elected.	

ГГ		
	TG however felt that candidates should need to put some degree of effort into standing and noted that at present individuals were not compelled to have to use the maximum word limit for statements etc.	
	TO felt there was a degree of duplication with the free text statement and the set question responses, particularly when coupled with the letters to PJ etc. She observed that members with neurodiversity issues might find communicating via video more helpful.	
	RT noted that RPS Connect would provide a dedicated platform for individual Board elections groups to be established for the first time in 2022 which would also enable greater interaction between candidates and members should they chose to participate/join the dedicated group.	
	CM felt that reliance on a CV could potentially disadvantage younger candidates and felt the set questions helped counterbalance this.	
	SB suggested that the three areas covering declarations of interest might be combined under a single heading and the word count therefore reduced.	
	RT explained that all the information currently requested had been included by instruction from Assembly at some point previously, and that views on what was required tended to change on a cyclical basis.	
	As there was no clear consensus on whether to reduce the current level of information, members were asked to vote whether to keep at the present level or to remove the three set questions:	
	keep at present level – TG, AJ, CA, JM, LS	
	remove set questions – MA, CW, TO	
	It was therefore agreed that the current level of information would be retained but members agreed that the mandatory nature of the CV, set questions etc would be removed.	
	ACTION - AD	AD
	<ul> <li>iv) Remove paper nominations</li> <li>Members noted that no paper nominations had been received since on-line nominations were introduced</li> <li>in 2016 and therefore agreed to remove paper nomination forms.</li> </ul>	

		<ul> <li>v) Vice-Chair &amp; Assembly Elections</li> <li>Noted that as AY would potentially be re-standing for election to Assembly in 2022, although able to contribute to the discussion on this item he would not be able to vote. The proposal to amend the current process for election to Assembly and Vice-Chair roles to bring the requirements for candidates in line with that of President/Treasurer/Chair were then discussed.</li> <li>TG believed it was vital that Board Members were aware of what potential Assembly and Vice-Chair candidates stood for, particularly if the member was new to the Board and had no prior knowledge of the candidates. TO confirmed that she had found it very difficult, having never attended a Board meeting before, to have to vote for individuals who had declared they were standing just before the vote was taken at what was her very first meeting.</li> <li>Members then agreed that the process should be amended as proposed.</li> <li>MA noted that thought would need to be given to the amended wording to ensure that anyone who stood for Chair but din't get elected in a year when both Chair and Vice-Chair were being elected would still be able to stand in the Vice-Chair election. AD will ensure that the amendment to the process reflects this.</li> <li>ACTION – AD</li> <li>AY observed that current processes did not allow for any communication with the wider membership ahead of these elections and suggested that this might be considered in future.</li> <li>b) National Board Effective Date</li> <li>The Effective Date for the three National Boards of 21<sup>st</sup> June 2022 was duly noted.</li> <li>c) 2022 Election Scheme</li> <li>The 2022 Election Scheme was duly noted and adopted.</li> </ul>	AD
Item 07 National Boards	21/11/ASB/07	The latest update was noted.	
Item 08 President's Report	21/11/ASB/08	The latest report from the President was noted.	

ltem 09 Treasurer's Report	21/11/ASB/9	The latest report from the Treasurer was noted.	
Item 10 Chief Executive's Report	21/11/ASB/10	PB observed that it was now 20 months since remote working had been introduced because of the pandemic but that it had been possible to partially re-open the three RPS offices for a limited number of staff to return since September if they wished to and it was in alignment with government policy in each of the three nations.	
		He explained that all three offices would be used in a very different way going forward as the new ways of working for staff were introduced. The Exec team had been undertaking a significant piece of work, with the help of external consultants, on what possible new ways of working might be that would allow teams to best embrace the potential opportunities for doing things differently going forward and ensure the great work done over the last 20 months can continue. At the same time the Exec continue to ensure the Society remains sustainable and viable in the long term by ensuring effective cost controls, increasing income and continuing to return a surplus each year.	
		This will necessitate some material changes to the buildings to make them more welcoming for staff and to better facilitate collaborative working and help facilitate the new ways of working mind-set. The biggest change will be seen at 66ES, where the first and second floors have now been let out to an external organisation. However, PB stressed the Society still retained exclusive use of the ground, 3 <sup>rd</sup> and 4 <sup>th</sup> floors.	
		He stressed the importance of the Society's new Culture and Values which were also being brought to life and would be central to how teams worked going forward.	
		He noted that there will be a gradual return to holding some face to face events during 2022, including the Science & Research Summit and a GB Conference in November. Teams are currently looking at how best to be able to run these as hybrid/mixed events and ensure all core events remain fully accessible. Work is also being done with RPS Locals around how best any regional programme of events might be progressed.	
		Members were informed that a gas leak had occurred outside 6ES last week but that the facilities team had responded very swiftly to ensure there was no risk to the building or to staff. The issue has now been resolved and the building re-opened for use.	
		The Exec team continue to work hard to progress the implementation of the 2021-2026 Strategy, and Assembly members had chance yesterday to hear more about the operational supporting and enabling strategies that sat below this.	

Item 11 Education & Professional Development Item 12 Science & Research	21/11/ASB/11 21/11/ASB/12	The new Salesforce CRM will enable much greater connectivity with members and allow significant insight into how products and services are used, as well as providing the platform for RPS Connect.         The annual report from the Education & Standards Committee was noted.         Minutes of the meetings held on 6 <sup>th</sup> July & 21 <sup>st</sup> September were noted.         The annual report from the Science & Research Committee was noted.         Minutes of the meeting held on 15 <sup>th</sup> September were noted.
Item 13 Inclusion & Diversity	21/11/ASB/13	The latest I&D update was noted.
Item 14 Climate Change	21/11/ASB/14	<ul> <li>a) Climate Emergency Declaration The latest update was noted.</li> <li>b) Climate Change &amp; ESG Following on from an the-depth discussion at the Working Day RT now gave a brief presentation outlining what responsible or ethical investment options may be open to Assembly with regards to the Society's funds: <ol> <li>A responsible investment approach. Using our investments (through our investment managers) to encourage companies to improve their Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) risk management and develop more sustainable business practices. A quick summary of what responsible investing is and the main ways it is done can be found here https://www.unpri.org/download?ac=10223 (Principles for Responsible Investment).</li> </ol> </li> <li>An ethical investment/segregated approach. Exclusionary screening of our investments based on a set of ethical values/principles. We would apply a segregated approach to our invested funds and determine any industry sectors to remove from our portfolio. A reasonable implementation of this approach for RPS would be able to remove producers and miners of coal, oil and gas (termed Scope 1), but not to screen out users of fossil fuels (Scope 2) or the further downstream supply chain use of these raw materials (Scope 3)</li> </ul>

He noted that there had been significant contributions to this item submitted by members of the Society in general which had been shared with Assembly at the Working Day. He thanked all those who had contacted the Society on this matter for the way they had done so in such a timely, calm and informative way which he felt was a useful model for future engagement.	
AY agreed it had been a very positive experience to engage with members in this area and stressed that the Society was on a continual journey with the climate emergency becoming an increasingly important factor taken into account around all financial decisions. He felt the discussion yesterday had been very productive and had given Assembly members significant information to allow them to weigh up all the factors involved.	
AJ noted that the Society did already take a responsible approach to investments and a number of sectors were already excluded. He felt the discussion today was therefore whether this was considered to be sufficient or whether Assembly now felt the Society could go further, for example by excluding extractors of fossil fuels and work towards going further still at some point in the future given Assembly also had a responsibility to balance environmental considerations with their fiduciary responsibilities but also had an obligation to lead in this area as the professional body.	
RT then shared the proposed statement on divestment agreed by Assembly at the Working Day:	
The Royal Pharmaceutical Society (RPS) has taken the decision to cease all remaining financial investments in fossil fuels as soon as possible and by the end of 2022 at the latest.	
Previously RPS has taken the approach of 'Responsible Investing' where we use our funds to drive change in the companies we invest in. The environment and human wellbeing have always been a core consideration of our investment approach. However, we now recognise that this influence was not great enough to balance out the negative impacts of climate change. The RPS Assembly today decided to make this amendment to its investment strategy as part of the RPS drive to become an environmentally responsible organisation.	
Divestment in fossil fuels is when an organisation sells any direct financial investments, such as stocks, bonds, or investment funds, it has in companies that extract fossil fuels, such as coal, gas, oil and tar sands.	
The move builds on RPS <u>action on sustainability</u> and its commitment to tackle the climate and ecological emergency by taking a leading role in encouraging the profession to reduce the environmental impact of medicines.	
SB asked what the financial implications of implementing this course of action would be or if it might impose any restrictions on the Society's existing portfolios which may have a negative impact on returns.	

		RR however noted that this was impossible to quantify especially as past performance was never an accurate indication of future returns. He felt there may be a slight reduction but noted that some other similar organisations he had been liaising with have actually seen an increase in their returns. PB noted that Assembly members had yesterday accepted there may be a small financial impact but he observed that financial companies were increasingly aware that green investments were proving attractive. RR was asked if fully divesting from fossil fuels by the end of 2022 was achievable, particularly in terms of divesting from gas extraction. He confirmed it would be. PB noted that 'as soon as possible' was also important to recognise - all appreciated that this was a climate emergency and there was therefore a need to respond as quickly as possible, the end of 2022 therefore represented a long-stop date.	
		KC noted the use of the phrase 'to become an environmentally responsible organisation' in the final sentence of the second para and felt this suggested the Society wasn't currently when it was clear it was. She suggested this section might be better worded to reflect that the Society wasn't just starting out on this journey. RT agreed that the Society has always placed ESG considerations at the forefront and staff do already work with very ethical fund providers and felt this was addressed by the wording in the final paragraph but that it might be possible to add in 'become a <i>more</i> environmentally responsible organisation' or 'as part of the RPS's <i>continuing</i> drive'	
		SB asked if it was possible to be more specific about any actions the Society could take in respect of the 4 <sup>th</sup> paragraph however RT felt the detailed Climate Emergency Declaration was specific enough to cover this. PB also felt the proposed statement was very powerful as its message shouldn't be confused by trying to over-elaborate. He noted that the link included would allow people to read all the Society's relevant policies and statements etc.	
		Members then approved the statement. PB acknowledged this was a very historic moment for the Society and thanked everyone for the quality of the debate on the matter, particularly RR and the finance team who had undertaken such extensive background work and provided such detailed information to allow Assembly to make a fully informed decision.	
ltem 15 Health & Safety	21/11/ASB/15	The annual Health & Safety report was duly noted.	
Item 16 AGM		Members were informed that the 2022 AGM would be held on 25 <sup>th</sup> May 6.30pm – 8.45pm and would once again be fully virtual as hybrid working for this type of meeting remained a challenge at present. RT noted that the Exec team would be present to respond to any proposed motions on the day, before they are	

	voted on, to allow any pertinent information to be presented and help ensure members had the necessary background on items.	
Item 17 Any Other Business	None.	
Item 18 Dates of Next Meeting	The date of the Assembly Working Day 15 <sup>th</sup> March and Assembly Meeting 16 <sup>th</sup> March 2022 were noted.	

## ACTION SHEET – Assembly Meeting 17<sup>th</sup> November OPEN BUSINESS

ltem	Action	Who by	When
ltem 05(a) Ethnicity data	Ethnicity data for Panel of Fellows and Membership Committee to be circulated to Assembly once available	RT	As soon as possible
	Panel of Fellows to re-consider possibility of removing need for confidentiality of nominations	RT	2022 mtg
Item 06 Elections	Number of nominators required for each Board to be considered	RS/EJ/CM	2022 National Board mtg
	Amendments to 2022 election timeline to be made to incorporate reduction in voting period etc	AD	2022 election
	Mandatory nature of some fields in candidate information to be removed	AD	2022 election
	Process for electing Vice-Chair and Assembly Members to be amended	AD	As soon as possible