

Fellowship Nomination Form

Section 1: The Nominee	
1.1 Member nominated for Fellowship	
Full name:	
Society membership number:	
Date of Registration	
CV attached:	Yes
<i>Providing a full CV of the nominee is very useful supporting evidence and can help the Panel reach their final decision</i>	

Section 2: Nominator and Supporters	
2.1 Primary Nominator	
Full name:	
Society membership number:	
Fellow:	Yes / No
Relationship to Nominee	
Email address:	
Telephone no.:	
Primary Nominator Declaration Statement:	
Please tick this box to confirm that the statement submitted below is from your own personal knowledge of the nominee:	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.2 First Supporter	
Full Name:	
Society membership Number:	
Fellow:	Yes / No
Relationship to Nominee	
Email address:	
Telephone no.:	
First Supporter Declaration Statement:	
Please tick this box to confirm that the statement submitted below is from your own personal knowledge of the nominee:	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.3 Second Supporter	

ROYAL PHARMACEUTICAL SOCIETY

Full Name:	
Society membership Number:	
Fellow:	Yes / No
Relationship to Nominee	
Email address:	
Telephone no.:	
Second Supporter Declaration Statement:	
Please tick this box to confirm that the statement submitted below is from your own personal knowledge of the nominee:	

Section 3: Supporting statement

Primary Nominator's supporting statement

I wish to nominate:

NAME

1. Brief biography

• Education and training

- **1985:** Bachelor of Pharmacy, Honours Class 2, Division 1. University (location).
- **1989:** Granted membership of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain.
- **1996:** Awarded Certificate of Pharmacy Practice. University (location).
- **2002:** Awarded Diploma in Pharmaceutical Technology and Quality Assurance (with Distinction), University (location).
- **2004:** Granted Qualified Person (IMP) status
- **2004:** Made an Honorary Lecturer in the School of Pharmacy (location).

• Key roles and positions (where possible include dates/years worked)

Further details of each of these roles can be found in the submitted CV.

- **Feb 1986 – July 1989:** Pre- registration training (abroad) in retail pharmacy (location). This was followed by time in practice and at (location) University.
- **Aug 1989 – Dec 1996:** Pre-(UK) registration training at (location) Hospital, London. Followed by stints in hospital pharmacy.
- **Jan 1996 – Sep 1997:** Staff Pharmacist in licensed specials production unit at (location).
- **Sep 1997 – Aug 1998:** Staff Pharmacist in QC labs at (location).
- **Sep 1998 – Aug 2000:** Deputy Chief Pharmacist and Head of Technical Services at (location) Hospital.
- **Aug 2000 – Aug 2007:** Specialist Pharmacy Services for (location) . Appointed Specialist Quality Assurance Pharmacist for (location) . During this period X was named as Releasing Officer for (location) Hospitals and as their Qualified Person.
- **Mar 2006 – present:** Appointed to the 'Chemistry, Pharmacy and Standards' Expert Advisory Group (CPS) of the Commission on Human Medicines (CHM).
- **Sep 2006 – present:** Appointed to the 'Pharmacy' Expert Advisory Group of the British Pharmacopoeia Commission.
- **Sep 2007 – present:** Appointed Director of Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance Specialist Services based in (location) .
- **Dates:** Secretary to the NHS National Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance Committee (NHS QAC).
- **Dates:** Chairman of the NHS QAC.
- **Dates:** Vice Chairman of the NHS QAC.
- **Dec 2015 – present:** Appointed to the British Pharmacopoeia Commission.

• What is the nominee best known for achieving?

- Expertise in hospital quality assurance on a national level
- MHRA committee contribution – of national importance
- Combining the scientific basis of pharmacy with practice.
- Producing the well-used and well-respected monthly magazine (title). The standing and significance of this publication is discussed by both Y and Z in their supporting statements. Z planned to attach a copy of Quality Matters to his supporting statement. He sent three editions for me to see and for me to choose the best to be submitted into this process. I actually attach all three! The reason for this was – seeing together all three of this output from Z really emphasised to me what a quality and continuous contribution this publication alone was ‘above and beyond the day job’.

2. Justification

- **Outline why the nominee is considered worthy to be awarded a Society Fellowship, provide examples to support your justification.**
- X has performed many tasks and duties that are beyond his normal day job. He is well known for these contributions particularly in respect of his many roles that relate to the Quality Assurance of medicines, their dispensing and their delivery.
- X makes a significant all-round contribution to hospital pharmacy and the science and practice of pharmacy. He is a renowned all-round expert, with particular speciality in the area of sterile products and practice in the hospital sector. His enthusiasm for pharmacy practice and pharmaceutical science is clear to anyone who meets him.
- X has for the past ten years been Director of Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance Specialist Services based in (location). In this role he has both followed and initiated novel aspects of the Quality Assurance of medicines.
- X roles in the important NHS national Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance Committee is discussed by Z in his statement of support.
- Similarly, Z describes X contribution to the Quality Issues Network and the Preparative Services Network.
- He is an author of a chapter on ‘Parenteral Drug Delivery’ in a Pharmaceutics textbook that has become a standard textbook for pharmacy students in the UK and beyond.
- For many years, X knowledge and expertise in formulation science and the reality of hospital practice has been recognised by his service on the Chemistry, Pharmacy and Standards Expert Advisory Group of the Commission on Human Medicines (CHM) and the British Pharmacopoeia Commission. These committees provide expert advice on new medicine authorisations, medicines policy, medicines reclassification, clinical trials and adverse drug reactions to the MHRA and Government ministers. These are extremely prestigious national duties.
- X standing in the profession is described well by (another nominator) in his supporting statement.

3. Above and Beyond the Day Job

- **Give examples of where the nominee has gone beyond the day job in terms of his/her achievements.**
- X day job may be summarised as providing a Regional QA service to Trusts in (location). It is his contributions to national work and groups that is above and beyond this.
- X appointment to the MHRA committees (BP Pharmacy and CPS, as defined earlier) is significant as these make nationally important contribution to the health of the nation. X contribution to the British Pharmacopoeia Pharmacy sub-committee has been recognised by his recent appointment to the full British Pharmacopoeia Commission.
- Similarly, X was appointed to serve on the NHS National Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance Committee (NHS QAC) and his contribution rewarded by his appointment to act as its (roles) .
- His standing in the field is also reflected in the invitation to write a chapter in the standard textbook on dosage form design and manufacture.
- X has done well in the day job also, rising to be Director of Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance Specialist Services based in (location). Please see the ‘Achievements and Outcomes’ section below for more detail of what he has achieved in this role.

- **Memberships**

These are listed in the attached CV.

- **Group roles (e.g. committees, councils, working parties, etc.)**
 - Chemistry, Pharmacy and Standards Expert Advisory Group (CPS) to the Commission on Human Medicines (CHM).
 - Pharmacy Expert Advisory Group to the British Pharmacopoeia Commission.
 - Member of the British Pharmacopoeia Commission
 - (Roles) of NHS National Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance Committee
 - (Role) of Audit Expert Working Party (Y explains the significance of this in her supporting statement)

- **Achievements and Outcomes**
 - Being invited to join a number of national committees is a significant achievement. Recent promotion to the full British Pharmacopoeia Commission is particularly noteworthy.
 - X is also well-known for achievements during the day job. These are significant and show a quality, commitment and ability well beyond the norm. To allow a complete assessment of X's achievements and outcomes, some of these are discussed here:
 - Since 2002 X has been regularly undertaking GMP audits of all hospital pharmacy aseptic units preparing chemotherapy, parenteral nutrition, radiopharmaceuticals and other various IV products if the facilities are not licensed and inspected by the MHRA. This covers some 19 sites across 7 counties (location). The audit standards applied are the same as the MHRA would use and therefore provide a good safeguard for patients receiving NHS prepared IV medicines. As part of this audit work X insisted that aseptic facilities be upgraded or replaced in some sites (and recommended closure of some). For those hospitals having facility upgrades, X provided significant GMP input into the design and construction specification.
 - For those hospitals having facility upgrades, X provided significant GMP input into the design and construction specification.
 - X has been involved heavily in education and training across (location). He has developed and run courses for NHS pharmacists and technicians on aseptic dispensing (basic and advanced), unlicensed medicines, extemporaneous dispensing and risk management. X has been involved in preregistration pharmacist training around QA and has mentored a number of postgrad students in Pharmaceutical Technology and QA courses run at (location). X also lectures to undergraduates on the MPharm course at (location).
 - X has been involved with investigational medicinal product manufacturing mainly through the licensed manufacturing unit at (location). X is a Qualified Person for "investigational medicinal products" (IMPs). X has been involved in the manufacture and release of IMPs in (description). He has also been involved in the manufacture and release of a number of challenge agents for use in clinical trials and agents for use in physiological studies. Most of this work has been in association with research carried out at (location). X has also been involved in the supply of IMPs for a WHO trial (location). Although this trial was conducted outside of the EU, it was treated as though it was subject to EU GCP regulations.
 - A developing area of work for X recently has involved liaising with hospital medication safety officers and working with them in both learning from medication errors and in disseminating that learning as widely as possible to ensure the same mistakes do not happen elsewhere in other NHS hospitals. This work also involves working with manufacturers to improve their products if there are issues with labelling or administration instructions and also highlighting issues to the MHRA as necessary.

4. Distinction to the Profession

- **How do the nominee's achievements distinguish him/her from the well-respected work that pharmacists already carry out on a day to day basis?**
 - I think that most of these are summarised in Section 3 above. His contributions beyond the day job (particularly those at a national level) are significant.
 - Z explains in his supporting statement how he has observed at close quarters the esteem in which X is held by diverse groups of professional.
 - This is true of his MHRA colleagues.

5. Any other comments

- In summary, X work is making a significant contribution to patient safety both within (location) and at a national level.
- X is well known and well respected in the pharmacy profession. His contribution to pharmacy is known and I would predict that any award of a Fellowship of the Society would be warmly welcomed by his colleagues. Personally, I was surprised to discover recently that he was not a Fellow already and this prompted me to nominate him for consideration.

Please attach a CV to support the nomination.

This is submitted. X publications are listed at the end of the CV.

Supporting Statement from Nominator.

I first came across X in person when he joined a committee of which I was a member in September 2006. This was the Pharmacy sub-committee (later renamed Pharmacy Expert Advisory Group) of the British Pharmacopoeia. X later joined the Chemistry, Pharmacy and Standards Expert Advisory Group of the Commission for Human Medicine. Both committees met under the remit of MHRA (Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency). I was a member of both these committees and so can discuss X contribution to both at the same time – as his contribution was equally strong on both.

X quickly became a well-respected and listened-to member of both these committees. X had a very strong knowledge of both the science and practice of delivering drugs to patients. His skill and great expertise is to be able to join those two approaches to come to logical, insightful, shrewd, sensible and workable ways forward from the very real problems presented at those meetings.

X by his contributions, has made and continues to make a significant contribution to the health of the nation.

Being so impressed with X knowledge and ability to explain these issues, he was invited to write a chapter in the then upcoming (4th) edition of the *Pharmaceutics* textbook. This resulted in a chapter entitled 'Parenteral Drug Delivery'. It was excellent writing and truly combined the practice of the manufacture and use of sterile products with the fundamental science behind them. The chapter gained acclaim (as noted in feedback and verbal comments) and so it was an easy decision for X to update his chapter for the new 5th edition that was published recently (June 2017).

Such a skill is not easy to find.

Supporting statement 1 for the nomination X as Fellow by Y

I would like to support the nomination of X to become a Fellow of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society for his exceptional contribution to the profession of pharmacy. I have known X for almost 20 years, working closely with him for much of that time. X is an expert in pharmaceutical quality assurance (QA).

X has been a valuable member of the NHS Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance Committee (PQAC), of which I only recently gave up (role) serving terms himself as (roles) to this national committee.

A particularly valuable part of X contribution to the NHS nationally is that, for several years, he has led the Audit Expert Working Party, a sub-group of the national QA Committee. In this role, X is responsible for keeping the national audit procedure for NHS unlicensed aseptic units up to date, and for ensuring consistency in its implementation between all NHS auditors against the national standards which are jointly published by RPS and the PQAC. (The standards are the Quality Assurance of Aseptic Preparation services Ed 5 www.rpharms.com/qaaps)

Additionally, X is himself an accomplished auditor and maintains the register of accredited aseptic auditors for the UK. He controls entry to the register on behalf of the NHS Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance Committee, thereby protecting patient safety and upholding consistent implementation of the national standards for NHS aseptic units.

For many years, X has produced a monthly publication (title) which highlights quality issues with pharmaceuticals and medical devices. This is distributed widely across the UK, for example to Chief

ROYAL PHARMACEUTICAL SOCIETY

Pharmacist and technical services networks. X is a skilled writer, ensuring that the content is both technically accurate and eminently readable. This publication is very much appreciated and valued by pharmacists across the country. It has an excellent record of bringing about change to improve patient safety, for example to 'lobby' to change label content and design. It is to X great credit that (title) is produced on time every month, has a direct impact on patient safety, and is held in such high regard by others in senior positions within the profession.

In addition to his outstanding contribution to the profession of pharmacy within the NHS, X is also a member of the British Pharmacopoeia (B.P.) Commission, and also serves on its Chemistry, Pharmacy and Standards Committee. The fact that he applied to be a member of the B.P. Commission demonstrates his commitment to developing and maintaining standards for the profession, something he does exceptionally well.

I am sure that X would be very honoured to become a Fellow of the RPS (as indeed I am) and I have no hesitation in supporting his nomination.

Supporting statement 2 from Z

I am very pleased to support the nomination of X for the award of Fellowship of the Royal Pharmaceutical Society.

X was my manager from 2008 to 2016 when I worked as (role). I am a registered pharmacist and Chartered Chemist and currently work part-time as a quality assurance pharmacist at (location) and as a self-employed consultant.

X post requires a high level of technical expertise. However his professional practice goes beyond this and he is distinguished in several areas connected with the safe preparation and use of medicines.

Through his membership of the NHS Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance Committee, X has made a significant contribution to formulating guidelines on aseptic processing and audit within NHS facilities, management of unlicensed medicines and the evaluation of pharmaceutical packaging. These are used daily by the NHS, Department of Health and commercial suppliers in managing the procurement, supply and safe use of medicines.

X uses his broad expertise in the regulatory and scientific aspects of sterile medicine preparation in providing technical advice to aseptic unit staff in (location). One of his strengths is the ability to interpret general safety and regulatory requirements into practical action plans.

X is the driving force in the Quality Issues Network and Preparative Services Network. These are regional groups that bring together technical services pharmacists and technicians in the (location) to share concerns and expertise. X also runs regional courses in aseptic preparation and management of unlicensed medicines, which attract delegates from outside (location).

During my employment with X I have noted the esteem he is held in by diverse groups of professionals. These include individuals at a national level concerned with quality assurance, medicines procurement and regulation and also those working in local hospital pharmacies who have benefited from his advice. He has acted as (roles) of the NHS Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance Committee.

X has outstanding communication skills. I have observed him speaking in a variety of situations to different professional groups and individuals and he is always persuasive without seeming too assertive. He has developed the (title) newsletter from a typed regional newsletter, circulated to hospital pharmacy quality assurance staff, into an interesting and thoughtful electronic publication that is appreciated beyond (location). The latest issue is attached.

In summary, X work is making a significant contribution to patient safety at both a national level and within (location).

Additional supporting statement 1

I have worked closely with X since I joined (location) Specialist Pharmacy Services in 2003. He has an immense breadth and depth of experience as a regional Quality Assurance Pharmacist which he has used to the advantage of the entire NHS for many years, as a (role) and long-standing member of the National Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance Committee, as a member of many other related regional and national pharmaceutical and medicines-related committees and groups and, since 2016, as a member of the BP Commission. X and I share particular interests in medicines safety and medicines procurement. I have always found X ability and capacity to understand and interpret legislation and regulatory documents in plain English particularly helpful. I have always believed and often said that networking and active participation in professional peer networks which support our everyday work is a key success factor for senior NHS hospital pharmacists regionally and nationally, and he excels at this, aided by his excellent communication skills, unflinching sense of humour and his sense of tact and diplomacy and his readiness to go the extra mile whenever necessary. As a result, X advice is frequently sought by many, always readily given in a timely way

ROYAL PHARMACEUTICAL SOCIETY

but after careful thought, and always valued and respected by colleagues within and beyond pharmacy circles.

In conclusion, I'm delighted to support this long overdue nomination for X Fellowship of the RPS in recognition to his invaluable contribution to making patient care safer development of the hospital pharmacy workforce and practice, and of the pharmacy profession as a whole.

Additional supporting statement 2

Working in the field of Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance, I have known X both professionally and personally for 20 years.

Starting back in 1997 when we were both students on the then relatively new Pharmaceutical Technology and Quality Assurance MSc course at (location) , it was clear even then that X had a great passion and talent in this his chosen sphere of work.

Later we both found ourselves working in the organisation that has now become the Specialist Pharmacy Services in roles at the time described as part of the Regional Quality Assurance services. I worked in London and X in (location) , where he was very much mentored by the then Regional QA lead there, the much respected (name), himself a Fellow of the Society.

It was no surprise therefore when, following (name) retirement, X himself took over as the Director of Quality Assurance for (location).

Therefore in our roles we worked together for many years as members of the NHS Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance Committee.

X has always been a very active and participative member of the committee, contributing greatly at meetings and participating in numerous working groups of the committee and contributing to a large number of the committee's guidance documents.

X has served as both (roles) of the NHSPQAC and (role) the committees Audit working group and as part of this has organised the annual mandatory training day for EL97(52) auditors

As part of his role in representing the committee at national meetings, X has become an active and valuable member of a number of BP committees and groups and acts as a valuable link back to NHS Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance services

CV of person nominated-

Name

Contact Details:

Current Position:

Professional registration:

Qualifications:

Appointments:

Relevant Experience:

Publications: