

## STANDARD 6.0 SAFE USE OF MEDICINES

The pharmacy team ensure that safe medication practices are embedded in the organisation (included contracted or directly outsourced services and third part suppliers).

### 6.1 SAFE SYSTEMS

*The chief pharmacist (or equivalent) leads on ensuring that all aspects of medicines use within the organisation are safe.*

Examples of evidence
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Involvement. Groups and committees looking at the design and updating of prescription and administration systems include members of the pharmacy team.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Designated post. Named individual has responsibility for electronic prescribing.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Audit. Charts, medicines reconciliation forms, medication histories are audited to ensure that an identifiable pharmacist has screened them.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• National standards. National alerts are incorporated into Trust policies, procedures and guidelines.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Recording in patients notes. Standards are in place for pharmacists documenting actions in patient notes.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Omitted and delayed doses. Policy documents and SOPs are in place.</li></ul>

#### Example of Practice (See Appendix 2 for more examples)

The on-call pharmacist is supplied with a Blackberry linked to MHRA email alerts. This enables any product recalls to be made on a 24 hour basis and helps ensure patient safety. The RPS Hospital Standards were used to help highlight this issue and implement change. **Wrexham Maelor Hospital , Betsi Cadwaladr , University Health Board**

### 6.2 SAFETY CULTURE

*The chief pharmacist (or equivalent) leads on promoting a “just” culture in which medication safety has a high profile both within the organisation and its partners.*

Examples of evidence/measures
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Incident reporting. Systems are used to report and analyse medication incidents e.g. DATIX, SBAR. Reporting is actively encouraged.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Shared learning. Newsletters, updates and safety reports published and promoted, medicines incident away day, reporting to relevant committees, learning from incident sessions, incorporating learning into training.</li></ul>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Action. Root cause analysis of serious incidents involving medicines must involve a pharmacist.</li></ul>

#### Example of Practice (See Appendix 2 for more examples)

A Trust wide reporting system includes medication errors. Once a month, all medication errors that are scored as category 4 or 5 (i.e. serious) are highlighted on the dashboard, with the aim of raising awareness, improving learning and preventing re-occurrence. **Central Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**

*Examples of how some sites are achieving delivery of standard 6.0 safe use of medicine:*

- National safety alerts are audited on a regular basis to demonstrate compliance e.g. NPSA guidance on delayed and omitted doses.
- Serious incidents and never events involving medicines are investigated.
- Medication incident reports are audited and trends investigated. Action plans developed to avoid recurrence.
- Reports on DATIX are regularly reviewed alongside contributions data. Issues identified are investigated and steps put in place to avoid recurrence.
- Quality of pharmacist recording of recommendations in patients' notes is audited.

*Examples of areas for development identified for standard 6 safe use of medicines:*

- Not all prescriptions are screened by a pharmacist, some are not seen and others have a minimal clinical check.
- Our monitoring of ongoing compliance with NPSA alerts is not as good as it could be.
- Communication and shared learning from events could be more targeted we currently produce a newsletter which may not get to all relevant groups.
- There is sometimes a limited review of Serious Incident action plans and little or no evidence of recommendations being acted upon.